arXiv:1606.05652v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 28 Jun 2016

Symmetry protected gapless Z_2 spin liquids

Yuan-Ming Lu[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*

(Dated: June 29, 2016)

While rapid progress has been made recently in understanding gapped topological phases, relatively little is known about gapless topological phases. In this work we discuss a large class of gapless Z_2 spin liquids in half-integer-spin systems, which are described by gapless fermionic spinons coupled to dynamical Z_2 gauge fields. Requiring only $U(1)$ spin conservation, time reversal and certain space group symmetries, in the framework of parton construction, we prove that certain spinon symmetry fractionalization class leads to gapless excitations stable against any symmetric perturbations, including arbitrary short-range interactions between spinons. Applying these gapless criteria to square, triangular and kagome lattices, we show that the only gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids are those constructed in the Schwinger-boson representation.

PACS numbers:

Contents

I. Introduction 1

II. Generalized parton construction of symmetric Z_2 spin liquids 2
- A. Generalized parton construction for half-integer-spin systems 2
- B. A brief review of symmetry fractionalization in Z_2 spin liquids 2
- C. Spinon pair superfluid description of Z_2 spin liquids 3

III. Criteria for symmetry protected gapless Z_2 spin liquids 4
- A. Two reflection planes intersecting at one site 5
- B. Site-crossing reflection and time reversal 6
- C. Site-centered C_{2n} rotation and time reversal 7

IV. Gapless Z_2 spin liquids on square lattice 7

V. Gapless Z_2 spin liquids on triangular lattice 9

VI. Gapless Z_2 spin liquids on kagome lattice 10

VII. Summary 10

Acknowledgments 11

References 11

I. INTRODUCTION

Tremendous progress has been made in understanding the interplay of symmetry and topology in gapped quantum many-body phases[1,2] since the discovery of topological insulators[3–5]. Take two spatial dimensions as an example, for generic gapped phases of interacting bosons which preserves certain (global) symmetries, a complete classification has been approached[6–13], characterized by possible fractional statistics and quantum numbers carried by elementary bulk and boundary excitations in these phases. On the other hand, much less is known about gapless symmetric quantum phases beyond the free fermions regime[14,15].

In this work we discuss a class of gapless quantum paramagnets in half-integer-spin systems, dubbed gapless Z_2 spin liquids, whose low-energy physics is captured by an emergent Z_2 gauge field[16,17] coupled to a pair superfluid (or superconductor) of fermionic matter fields dubbed "spinons". Unlike integer-spin magnon excitations, each spinon carry half-integer spin and is Kramerd doublet. Hence spinons are "fractionalized" excitations, each of which can be viewed as half of a magnon. Since superconductivity is typically an instability of the spinon fermi surface, it usually leads to a gapped spinon spectrum and therefore a gapped Z_2 spin liquid. Here we prove that with time reversal and $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetries, certain space group symmetry implementations on spinons can give rise to a spinon superconductor with gapless spectrum. These gapless spinon spectrum are stable against any perturbations, including interactions between spinons, as long as certain symmetries are preserved.

Between these spinon superconductors (Z_2 spin liquids) and usual electronic superconductors, there is a crucial difference on how (space group) symmetries are implemented on spinons and on electrons. Since spinons are "fractionalized" collective excitations of the spin system, they can carry a fractional quantum number of (spatial and global) symmetries, a phenomena known as "symmetry fractionalization"[9]. The possible symmetry fractionalization classes of spinons in Z_2 spin liquids are classified by 2nd group cohomology of the symmetry group[9,11,13]. In this work, we show that certain fractionalization class necessarily leads to gapless Z_2 spin liquids.

Previous studies on gapless superconductors[18,19] and Z_2 spin liquids[20] are based on perturbative arguments at the free fermion level, hence it's natural to ask: can interactions between fermions (spinons) destroy a gapless pair superfluid (Z_2 spin liquid) in a non-perturbative fashion? In this work, by utilizing $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetry, we are able to establish the stability of gapless spinon spectrum non-perturbatively, based on properties of Schmidt decomposition[21–23] of a symmetric short-range-entangled pair superfluid ground state.

After establishing three symmetry criteria for gapless Z_2 spin liquids, we applying them to identify gapless Z_2 spin liquid s on square, triangular and kagome lattices. This also enables us to narrow down the gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids on these lattices, in particular the kagome lattice case is experimentally relevant to the paramagnetic ground state of Herbertsmithite[24,25]. We found that on all three lattices, the only possible gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids are those realized in Schwinger-boson representation[26–28].

This work is organized as follows. In section II we setup the formalism of generalized parton construction (1) for half-integer spins, within which the Z_2 spin liquids will be constructed and investigated in this paper. In section III we establish the symmetry criteria (19), (38) and (46)-(47) for gapless Z_2 spin liquids, by supplementing intuitive free fermion proofs with non-perturbative arguments. Then we apply these criteria to square (section IV), triangular (section V) and kagome (section VI) lattices, before concluding remarks in section VII.

II. GENERALIZED PARTON CONSTRUCTION OF SYMMETRIC Z_2 SPIN LIQUIDS

A. Generalized parton construction for half-integer-spin systems

In this section we setup a generalized parton construction for a half-integer-spin system, which allows us to construct a generic many-spin ground state. In this construction, each spin-$S/2$ (S=odd) operator $\vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is represented by S pairs of spin-1/2 fermionic (or bosonic) spinons $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}|1\leq a\leq S,\alpha=\uparrow,\downarrow\}$, where $\mathbf{r}$ label a specific lattice site:

$$\vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{S}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=\uparrow,\downarrow}\phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\beta},\quad S=\text{odd}. \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ represent the three Pauli matrices. a is the flavor index and α,β are spin indices. Following the rule for addition of angular momentum, to make sure $\vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}}$ represents a half-integer spin we require that S is an odd integer and

$$\sum_{a,\alpha}\phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}=S=\text{odd},\quad\forall\ \mathbf{r}. \tag{2}$$

Once the spinon "Ansatz" Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$ and its ground state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$ are constructed, the many-spin state $|\text{spin}\rangle$ is obtained by implementing certain projection operators ($\hat{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$ on site $\mathbf{r}$) on the spinon ground state

$$|\text{spin}\rangle=(\prod_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{P}_{\mathbf{r}})|\text{spinon}\rangle \tag{3}$$

This is because the Hilbert space of spinons $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}|1\leq a\leq S,\alpha=\uparrow,\downarrow\}$ per site is larger than the $(S+1)$-dimensional physical Hilbert space of spin-$S/2$, and therefore we need to impose certain constraints on the spinon Hilbert space to reduce it to the physical spin Hilbert space. For example condition (2) is one necessary constraint for generalized parton construction (1) of spin-$S/2$. These constraints are enforced by projection operators $\{\hat{P}_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ in (3) to produce a reasonable spin wavefunction.

In the $S=1$ case, the generalized parton construction reduces to the widely-adopted Abrikosov-fermion[29,30] or Schwinger-boson[31] wavefunction, depending on spinons $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\}$ obey fermionic or bosonic statistics. The on-site constraint for $S=1$ case becomes the single-occupancy condition

$$\sum_{\alpha}\phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\phi_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}=1,\quad\forall\ \mathbf{r}. \tag{4}$$

and the associated projection operator is nothing but the well-known Gutzwiller projector

$$\prod_{\mathbf{r}}\hat{P}_{\mathbf{r}}=\prod_{\mathbf{r}}\big(1-\sum_{\alpha}\phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\phi_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\big)\equiv\hat{P}_G \tag{5}$$

In the $S>1$ case, the onsite constraints are typically more complicated[32–34] than condition (2). However the single constraint (2) is enough to prove the conclusions of this work, and therefore we don't explicitly write down the general constraint for an arbitrary S=odd.

B. A brief review of symmetry fractionalization in Z_2 spin liquids

In the generalized parton construction, the spinons are not non-interacting particles: they are coupled to dynamical gauge fields originating from the onsite constraint (or the projection). Take spin-1/2 Abrikosov-fermion (or Schwinger-boson) representations for instance, the physical spin operators (1) are invariant under $SU(2)$ (or $U(1)$) local gauge transformations[26,30] on fermionic (or bosonic) spinons, giving rise to dynamical $SU(2)$ (or $U(1)$) gauge fields coupled to spinons. In $S>1$ case the gauge group $G_g^{(0)}$ is even larger, containing $\big[SU(2)\big]^S$ (or $\big[U(1)\big]^S$) as a subgroup for fermionic (or bosonic) spinons. This gauge structure may be further broken down to its subgroup $G_g\subset G_g^{(0)}$ via Anderson-Higgs mechanism in the spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian

$\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$. As a result, the low-energy dynamics of many-spin ground state $|\text{spin}\rangle = (\prod_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{r}})|\text{spinon}\rangle$ is described by spinons coupled to a dynamical G_g gauge field.

The low-energy physics of Z_2 spin liquids are described by a Z_2 gauge theory[35], *i.e.* spinons coupled to a dynamical Z_2 gauge field. Therefore the gauge group is broken down to $G_g = Z_2$ in the spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$ of a Z_2 spin liquids. The gauge transformations associate with this unbroken Z_2 gauge structure correspond to $\phi_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{a},\alpha} \to -\phi_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{a},\alpha}$. Therefore a Z_2 spin liquid is realized as a pair superfluid of spinons in generalized parton construction. In a Z_2 spin liquid, there are 3 types of anyon excitations that obey fractional statistics[35,36]: bosonic spinons b, bosonic visons v and fermionic spinons f. Each type of anyon obey mutual semionic statistics with the other 2 types, *i.e.* they have fractional mutual statistical angle $\frac{\theta_{b,v}}{2\pi} = \frac{\theta_{b,f}}{2\pi} = \frac{\theta_{v,f}}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore each anyon is a non-local excitation, while the bound state formed by a pair of anyons of the same type becomes a local excitation, e.g. a magnon excitation $\vec{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (1) consists of two spinons. This is abstracted in the following fusion rules:

$$b \times b = v \times v = f \times f = 1, \quad b \times v = f. \tag{6}$$

The last fusion rule shows that a fermionic spinon f can be viewed as the bound state of a bosonic spinon b and a vison v. In the spinon paired superfluid description of Z_2 spin liquids, the spinon $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\}$ in generalized parton construction (1) can either be the bosonic spinon b or fermionic spinon f, depending on its statistics. The vison v is nothing but the vortex (or π-flux) in the pair superfluid.

In this work we focus on symmetric Z_2 spin liquids in half-integer spin systems that preserve at least $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetry (say, along $\hat{z}$-axis), time reversal, and the space group symmetries of certain lattices. A crucial question is: how to implementation symmetries on spinons $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\}$? Since a single spinon can be viewed as half of a magnon, it can carry a half symmetry quantum number of a magnon. This phenomena is known as "symmetry fractionalization"[9] of anyons in topological orders (Z_2 spin liquids here). Ref. 9 first proposed that different symmetry fractionalization classes are classified by cohomology group $\mathcal{H}^2(G_s, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ where G_s is the symmetry group of the system, which was further elaborated by Ref. 13,37 in the category theory framework of topological orders[38].

In the formalism of generalized parton construction described here, symmetry fractionalization on spinons is closely related to the local gauge redundancy of parton construction (1). When a symmetry operation $\boldsymbol{g} \in G_s$ is implemented on physical spins, its action on each spinon can always be accompanied by a local gauge rotation $\{\mathfrak{G}_{\boldsymbol{g}}(\mathbf{r}) \in G_g^{(0)}\}$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{g}}\ \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\ \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^{-1} = \mathfrak{G}_{\boldsymbol{g}}\big(\boldsymbol{g}(\mathbf{r})\big)\phi_{\boldsymbol{g}(\mathbf{r}),a,\boldsymbol{g}(\alpha)}\mathfrak{G}_{\boldsymbol{g}}^{-1}\big(\hat{\boldsymbol{g}}(\mathbf{r})\big) \tag{7}$$

The combined physical operations and associated gauge transformations $\{\mathfrak{G}_{\boldsymbol{g}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g} | \boldsymbol{g} \in G_s, \mathfrak{G}_{\boldsymbol{g}}(\mathbf{r}) \in G_g^{(0)}\}$ form a central extension (or projective representation) of the symmetry group G_s, known as the projective symmetry group (PSG)[39]. The center of this group extension is a subgroup of the PSG, coined the invariant gauge group (IGG)[39]. It corresponds to global gauge rotations under which the spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$ remains invariant. The IGG is determined by the fusion group of spinons[9,13,37], which is $G_g = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha} \to \pm\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha},\ \forall\ \mathbf{r}, a, \alpha\}$ in the case of Z_2 spin liquids. In the generalized parton construction, different classes of symmetric Z_2 spin liquids are thus characterized by distinct (gauge-inequivalent) Z_2 PSGs (or group extensions) of spinons.

The central extension of a symmetry group G_s with a center Z_2 (*i.e.* Z_2 PSG) can be illustrated as follows: consider a series of symmetry operations which yields the identity operation $\boldsymbol{e}$ in the symmetry group, as in the left column of TABLE I-III. Any local operator, such as spin (or magnon) operator (1) must remain invariant under this series of symmetry operations, however each spinon as "half of a spin (magnon)" can acquire a $\sqrt{1} = \pm 1$ phase. In other words, the series of symmetries operations in the left column of TABLE I-III always correspond to one element in the IGG$=\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha} \to \pm\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha},\ \forall\ \mathbf{r}, a, \alpha\}$ when acting on the spinons: *e.g.*

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^2 \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha} \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-2} = \omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}, \quad \omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \pm 1, \quad \forall\ \mathbf{r}, a, \alpha. \tag{8}$$

for a mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 = \boldsymbol{e}$.

C. Spinon pair superfluid description of Z_2 spin liquids

In the following, we setup the spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$ of a symmetric Z_2 spin liquid, in the framework of parton construction (1). As mentioned, the symmetry group we consider here include at least $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetry (say, along $\hat{z}$-axis), time-reversal symmetry $\boldsymbol{T}$, and certain space group symmetries:

$$G_s \supset U(1)_{\mathbf{S}^z} \times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}} \times \text{Space group} \tag{9}$$

We don't consider spin-orbit couplings, therefore space group symmetries are pure spatial operations involving no spin rotations.

Under time reversal operation $\boldsymbol{T}$ the spinons transform as spin-1/2 spinors by construction in (1):

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha} \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1} = \sum_{\beta} \mathrm{i}(\sigma_y)_{\alpha,\beta} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\beta} \tag{10}$$

Under $U(1)_{\mathbf{S}^z}$ spin rotation they transform in the familiar form

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\theta\hat{\mathbf{S}}^z} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha} e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta\hat{\mathbf{S}}^z} = \sum_{\beta} e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\theta}{2}(\sigma_z)_{\alpha,\beta}} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\beta} \tag{11}$$

Due to conservation of $\hat{z}$-component of total spins, only spinons with opposite spins can pair up and hence the symmetry-allowed spinon Hamiltonian can be written in the Nambu basis as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}} = \hat{H}_{MF} + \hat{H}_{int},$$
$$\hat{H}_{MF} = \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}'\rangle \psi_{\mathbf{r}'}, \quad \psi_{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\uparrow} \\ \phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},a,\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

where $(2S)\times(2S)$ matrices $\langle \mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}'\rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}'|\mathbf{r}\rangle^\dagger$ are mean-field amplitudes for spinons. The spin rotational symmetry (11) is nothing but the global phase rotation in the Nambu basis:

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta \hat{\mathbf{S}}^z} \psi_{\mathbf{r}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\theta \hat{\mathbf{S}}^z} = e^{\mathrm{i}\,\frac{\theta}{2}} \psi_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (13)$$

while time reversal symmetry (TRS) plays the role of an *anti-unitary particle-hole symmetry* on the Nambu spinor:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \psi_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\downarrow} \\ -\phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},a,\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{i}\tau_y \psi^*_{\mathbf{r}},$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{F}}, \quad \hat{F} \equiv \textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}} \psi_{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (14)$$

where $\vec{\tau}$ are Pauli matrices for the Nambu index. Therefore the BdG Hamiltonian of time-reveral-symmetric pair superfluid in the spinon $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ basis is mapped to a Bloch Hamiltonian of particle-hole-symmetric insulator in the Nambu basis $\{\psi_{\mathbf{r}}\}$. The conserved particle number in Nambus basis is also enforced at "half filling" by TRS (14):

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}} \psi_{\mathbf{r}}\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \psi^T_{\mathbf{r}} \psi^*_{\mathbf{r}}\rangle = S = \text{odd}. \quad (15)$$

Hereafter we'll stick to the Nambu basis which allows us to make the best of $U(1)_{\mathbf{S}^z}$ spin rotational symmetry.

The vacuum $|empty\rangle$ in Nambu basis is very different from the Fock vaccum $|0\rangle$ of spinon fields $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\}$:

$$\psi_{\mathbf{r}}|empty\rangle = 0 \Longrightarrow$$
$$|empty\rangle = (\textstyle\prod_{\mathbf{r}} \prod_a \phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},a,\downarrow})|0\rangle. \quad (16)$$

and it's straightforward to show that TRS acts nontrivially on the Nambu vacuum

$$\boldsymbol{T}|empty\rangle = (\textstyle\prod_{\mathbf{r},a} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},a,2}\psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},a,1})|empty\rangle = |full\rangle, \quad (17)$$
$$\boldsymbol{T}^2|empty\rangle = (-1)^{NS}|empty\rangle, \quad N = \text{total } \# \text{ of sites}.$$

Due to TRS-enforced filling number (15), the spinon ground state |spinon⟩ is constructed by creating $N\cdot S$ particles on top of Nambu vacuum $|empty\rangle$. Therefore although time reversal squares to be the spinon number parity (14), it squares as identity when acting on the spinon ground state:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^2|\text{spinon}\rangle = (-1)^{2NS}|\text{spinon}\rangle = |\text{spinon}\rangle. \quad (18)$$

independent of the site number N. This explains why a gapped pair superfluid is possible with an odd number of sites in view of Kramers theorem.

Unlike TRS and spin rotations, space group symmetries are accompanied by gauge transformations as in (7). The only thing we need to point out is that Nambu vacuum $|empty\rangle$ is invariant under any gauge rotations. In the simplest example of $S = 1$ Abrikosov-fermion construction, $G_g^{(0)} = SU(2)$ gauge rotations act on the spinon Fock vacuum as

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ \phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\uparrow}\phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\downarrow}|0\rangle \end{pmatrix} \to \mathfrak{G}(\mathbf{r}) \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ \phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\uparrow}\phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r},\downarrow}|0\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{G}(\mathbf{r}) \in SU(2).$$

but not on the Nambu vacuum (16). Therefore by construction, Nambu vacuum (16) forms a linear (not projective) one-dimensional representation of the space group. In other words, the series of combined symmetry operations on the left column of TABLE I-III all acts trivially on the Nambu vacuum (16).

III. CRITERIA FOR SYMMETRY PROTECTED GAPLESS Z_2 SPIN LIQUIDS

Now that we have setup the spinon Hamiltonian of the symmetric pair superfluid which describes symmetric Z_2 spin liquids in the parton construction, we would like to answer the following question: when can we achieve a gapped pair superfluid that preserves all symmetries (9)? Always assuming time reversal (10) and $U(1)$ spin rotational (11) symmetries, we consider all possible fractionalization classes of crystal symmetry implementation on spinons in a Z_2 spin liquid (see *e.g.* TABLE I-III). If the spinon Hamiltonian (12) can't support a gapped unique ground state, our parton construction will lead to a gapless Z_2 spin liquid, whose low-energy physics are described by gapless spinons coupled to dynamical Z_2 gauge fields. Gapless Z_2 spin liquids were previously discussed at the mean-field level[20,39] in the parton construction, where it's not clear whether arbitrary interactions between spinons or gauge fluctuations can open up a gap in the spectrum. Although stable gapless Z_2 spin liquids were found numerically in projected wavefunctions[40,41] on square lattice, a systematic understanding of these states beyond mean-field Ansatz is still lacking. Here making use of the conserved particle number in the Nambu basis, we are able to prove non-perturbative results stable against any symmetric perturbations, including arbitrary spinon interactions.

In the following we establish three criteria that lead to degenerate ground states of spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian (12). We will focus on fermionic parton construction, *i.e.* spinons $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}\}$ in (1) obey fermi statistics with anti-commutation relation $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r},a,\alpha}, \phi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}',b,\beta}\} = \delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\delta_{a,b}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$. We first prove the symmetry-enforced degeneracy at the level of mean-field Ansatz $\hat{H}_{MF}$ in fermionic parton constructions, which provides an intuitive picture. Then we provide a proof for the many-body ground states of a generic

Algebraic Identity	SB b_α in Ref. 28	AF f_α in Ref. 39	fractionalization class of spinons
$T_2^{-1}T_1^{-1}T_2T_1=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_1}$	η_{xy}	$\omega_{T_1T_2}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}T_1\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_1^{-1}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_2}$	η_{xpy}	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_1}$
$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_2)^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_3+p_4}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\eta_{xpx}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_2}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$
${C_4}^{-1}T_1C_4T_2=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
${C_4}^{-1}T_2C_4T_1^{-1}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_2+p_3}$	$\eta_{xpy}\eta_{xpx}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_1}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_2}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_4}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$
$R_{xy}^2=(C_4\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_4+p_7}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_4}$	ω_R
$(C_4)^4=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	η_{C_4}	ω_{C_4}
$T_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_1\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_8}$	η_t	$\omega_{T_1\boldsymbol{T}}$
$T_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_2\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_8}$	η_t	$\omega_{T_1\boldsymbol{T}}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_4}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$
$R_{xy}^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}R_{xy}\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	$(-1)^{p_4+p_7}$	$\eta_{C_4\boldsymbol{T}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$	$\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}$
$\boldsymbol{T}^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	-1	-1	-1

Table I: Square lattice: symmetry fractionalization class $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^9\subset\mathcal{H}^2(P4gm\times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}},\mathbb{Z}_2)$ of spinons in half-integer-spin Z_2 spin liquids, and their realizations in $S=1/2$ Schwinger-boson (SB) and Abrikosov-fermion (AF) representations, following the notation of Ref. 28. The fractionalization classes from 2nd group cohomology[9] are labeled by nine Z_2-valued integers $\omega=\pm1$. We show that a Z_2 spin liquid is gapless if violating any of the following conditions: $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$, $\omega_R=\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}$ and $\omega_{C_4}=1$. This leads to only $2^6=64$ distinct gapped Z_2 spin liquids, all realized in Schwinger-boson representation[28].



Figure 1: (color online) Space group ($P4gm$) symmetries of square lattice, generated by two translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and 4-fold rotation C_4. Two other mirror reflections are defined as $R_{xy}=C_4\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $R_x=(C_4)^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Red and green dotted dash lines denote two entanglement cuts of the lattice, related by mirror R_x or inversion $I=(C_4)^2$.

interacting Hamiltonian (12), using the Schmidt decomposition of a short-range-entangled (gapped) state[21–23].

A. Two reflection planes intersecting at one site

First criterion comes from two reflection planes which intersect at a lattice site, such as $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and R_x in FIG. 1 (square lattice). Consider the following (projective) symmetry implementations on spinons

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x^{-1}=(-1)^{\hat{F}},\quad \hat{F}=\sum_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger\psi_{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{19}$$

where $(-1)^{\hat{F}}$ is the spinon number parity in the Nambu basis.

First it's straightforward to show that in mean-field Ansatz, each single-spinon level has at least 2-fold degeneracy. The mean-field Ansatz can be diagonalized as

$$\hat{H}_{MF}=\sum_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger\langle\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}'\rangle\psi_{\mathbf{r}'}=\sum_E E\gamma_E^\dagger\gamma_E, \tag{20}$$

where E are single-particle eigen-energies, and the spinon mean-field ground state is

$$|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF}=\prod_{E<0}\gamma_E^\dagger|empty\rangle. \tag{21}$$

TRS (14) as anti-unitary particle-hole symmetry dictates that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\gamma_E\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}=\gamma_{-E}^\dagger. \tag{22}$$

hence the number of positive and negative single-spinon energy levels must be both equal to NS, same as the number of filled levels in (21).

In the presence of reflection symmetry $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, each eigenmode can be labeled by their $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ eigenvalue $q_E(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\gamma_E\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}=q_E(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\gamma_n,\quad [q_E(\boldsymbol{\sigma})]^2=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\pm1. \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, reflection R_x satisfying (19) leads to another degeneracy single-spinon level $\hat{R}_x\gamma_E\hat{R}_x^{-1}$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ eigenvalue $-q_E(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ since

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\hat{R}_x\gamma_E\hat{R}_x^{-1})\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}=-\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\gamma_E\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x^{-1}=-q_E(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\hat{R}_x\gamma_E\hat{R}_x^{-1}$$

Therefore each single-spinon level must be at least 2-fold degenerate, due to anti-commuting reflections $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and R_x in (19). On a lattice with N=odd number of sites, such as a odd by odd square lattice on FIG. 1, since we need to fill the lowest NS=odd levels in mean-field ground state (21), there will be two degenerate levels lying on the fermi energy. Therefore at mean-field level the spinon ground state must have at least 2-fold degeneracy.

The above argument can be easily generalized to interacting spinon Hamiltonian (12) on N=odd lattice. Since many-spinon ground state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$ have conserved particle number $\langle\hat{F}\rangle=NS$=odd, two reflections $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and R_x anticommute on the many-body ground state, leading to

at least 2-fold degeneracy. However, there are some subtlety to define a Z_2 spin liquid with N=odd half-integer spins, where the physical many-spin ground state contains an odd number of spinons and must be at least 2-fold degenerate due to Kramers theorem. Below we put previous intuitive arguments on firmer ground, by using Schmidt decomposition of the many-spinon wavefunction on an infinite cylinder[23].

Consider interacting spinon Hamiltonian (12) on an infinite cylinder wrapped along $\hat{y}$ direction in FIG. 1, where the cylinder circumference is L_y=odd. Assume it hosts a unique short-range-entangled (SRE) ground state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$, preserving reflections $R_x, \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and translation T_1. Across the $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-preserving entanglement cut denoted by the red dotted-dash line in FIG. 1-3, the SRE ground state can be Schmidt decomposed into

$$|\text{spinon}\rangle = \sum_\nu w_\nu \ |\nu\rangle_L \otimes |\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}} \tag{24}$$

where w_ν are Schmidt weights. $\{|\nu\rangle_L\}$ and $\{|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}}\}$ denote a set of orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space of region L and $\bar{L}$. The Schmidt spectrum $\{w_\nu\}$ is discrete for SRE state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$. Since the bipartition (red line) of the lattice preserves mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, the orthonormal basis can be labeled by their reflection quantum number $q(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}|\nu\rangle_L = q_{\nu,L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\nu\rangle_L, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}} = q_{\nu,\bar{L}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}}. \tag{25}$$

which must satisfy

$$q_{\nu,L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})q_{\nu,\bar{L}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \equiv q_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \pm 1, \quad \forall\ \nu. \tag{26}$$

so that spinon ground state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$ preserves mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ with eigenvalue $q_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$.

Now let's consider another entanglement cut related to the previous one by mirror reflection R_x, depicted by the green dotted-dash line in FIG. 1. The Schmidt decomposition across this cut is given by

$$|\text{spinon}\rangle = \sum_\nu w'_\nu |\nu\rangle_R \otimes |\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}}, \tag{27}$$

where by mirror reflection we can choose

$$w'_\nu = w_\nu, \quad |\nu\rangle_R = \hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_L, \quad |\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}} = \hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}}. \tag{28}$$

Note that on an infinite cylinder, the total particle number $\hat{F}_L = \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in L} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}$ on the l.h.s. of an entanglement cut is ill-defined in the thermodynamic limit, however the charge fluctuation around its average value

$$\hat{Q}_L \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{r}\in L}(\psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}} - S) = -\hat{Q}_{\bar{L}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{29}$$

is still well-defined. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{\hat{F}_L}|\nu, L\rangle &= e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,L}}|\nu, L\rangle, \quad \forall\ \nu,\\ \hat{Q}_L|\nu, L\rangle &= Q_{\nu,L}|\nu, L\rangle,\\ (-1)^{\hat{F}_{\bar{L}}}|\nu, \bar{L}\rangle &= e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,\bar{L}}}|\nu, \bar{L}\rangle, \quad \forall\ \nu,\\ \hat{Q}_{\bar{L}}|\nu, \bar{L}\rangle &= Q_{\nu,\bar{L}}|\nu, \bar{L}\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where Φ is an ambiguous but ν-independent phase[23]. By mirror symmetry R_x it's also clear that

$$Q_{\nu,R} = Q_{\nu,L} = -Q_{\nu,\bar{L}} = -Q_{\nu,\bar{R}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{31}$$

Making use of symmetry relation (19), it's straightforward to work out the mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-eigenvalues of Schmidt components as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}|\nu\rangle_R &= e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,L}}q_{\nu,L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\nu\rangle_R,\\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}|\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}} &= e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,\bar{L}}}q_{\nu,\bar{L}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}}.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Applying mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ twice and requiring $q_{\nu,L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2) = q_{\nu,R}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)$, we obtain that[21]

$$e^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\Phi} = 1. \tag{33}$$

On the other hand notice that region $\bar{L} = M \bigcup R$ (see FIG. 1), hence the Schmidt components on the r.h.s. of the two cuts are related by addition of region M (a column containing L_y=odd sites):

$$|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}} = \sum_{\mu,\rho} B^\rho_{\mu\nu}|\rho\rangle_M \otimes |\mu\rangle_R, \tag{34}$$

where $\{|\rho\rangle_M\}$ is a set of orthonormal basis for Hilbert space in region M, with particle number satisfying

$$\hat{F}_M|\rho\rangle_M = (Q_{\rho,M} + L_y S)|\rho\rangle_M, \tag{35}$$

$$Q_{\nu,\bar{L}} = Q_{\rho,M} + Q_{\mu,R}, \quad \forall\ B^\rho_{\mu\nu} \neq 0. \tag{36}$$

Now let's perform symmetry operation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x^{-1}$ on both sides of state (34), and we reach a contradiction to (33)

$$e^{\mathrm{i}\,\Phi} = (-1)^{L_y S}e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\Phi} \tag{37}$$

for a L_y=odd cylinder. Therefore we've shown that symmetry implementation (19) is incompatible with a unique gapped symmetric ground state $|\text{spinon}\rangle$ of Ansatz Hamiltonian. Clearly same conclusion applies to a generic two-dimensional lattice, as will be illustrated later.

B. Site-crossing reflection and time reversal

The 2nd criterion for gapless Z_2 spin liquids is the following implementation of time reversal $\boldsymbol{T}$ and mirror reflection (say, R_x in FIG. 1) whose mirror planes crosses an odd number of sites per unit cell:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x = (-1)^{\hat{F}}, \quad \hat{F} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}}\psi_{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{38}$$

First at mean-field level (20), again we can label single-spinon modes by their reflection eigenvalues $q_E(R_x)$ as in (23). Acting time reversal on each mode will lead to another mode with opposite-energy

$$\begin{aligned}&\boldsymbol{T}\gamma_E\boldsymbol{T}^{-1} = \gamma^\dagger_{-E}, \quad |q_E(R_x)|^2 = 1 \Longrightarrow\\ &\hat{R}_x\gamma^\dagger_{-E}\hat{R}_x^{-1} = -\boldsymbol{T}\hat{R}_x^{-1}\gamma_E\hat{R}_x\boldsymbol{T}^{-1} = -q_E(R_x)\gamma^\dagger_{-E}.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Therefore the mean-field ground state (21), with mirror quantum number q_{R_x} has a time reversal partner with different mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-eigenvalue $(-1)^{NS}q_{R_x}$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF} = \prod_{E>0}\gamma_E^\dagger|full\rangle \Longrightarrow$$
$$\hat{R}_x(\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF}) = (-1)^{NS}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x^{-1}|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF} =$$
$$\frac{(-1)^{NS}}{q_{R_x}^*}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF} = (-1)^{NS}q_{R_x}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}|\text{spinon}\rangle_{MF} \quad (40)$$

on a lattice with N=odd sites. This proves at least 2-fold degenerate ground states in the mean-field Ansatz (12).

Below we prove that a unique SRE symmetric ground state of interacting spinon Ansatz Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{spinon}}$ is impossible on an infinite R_x-preserving cylinder with circumference L_y=odd (as in FIG. 1), again using its Schmidt decomposition. Assuming a unique SRE ground state, again it can be Schmidt decomposed into

$$|\text{spinon}\rangle = \textstyle\sum_\nu w_\nu|\nu\rangle_L\otimes|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}} = \sum_\nu w_\nu|\nu\rangle_R\otimes|\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}},$$
$$|\nu\rangle_R = \hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_L, \quad |\nu\rangle_{\bar{R}} = \hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}}. \quad (41)$$

Time reversal actions (14) and (17) in the Nambu basis indicate that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^2|\nu\rangle_L = (-1)^{\sum_{\mathbf{r}\in L}(\psi_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger\psi_{\mathbf{r}}-S)}|\nu\rangle_L = (-1)^{Q_{\nu,L}}|\nu\rangle_L. \quad (42)$$

where $Q_{\nu,L}$ are particle number fluctuation in region L, defined in (29)-(30). By applying $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x$ twice Schmidt components $|\nu\rangle_L$, we again obtain

$$e^{2\mathrm{i}\Phi} = 1 \quad (43)$$

for ambiguous phase Φ in (30). Due to mirror reflection R_x we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_R = (-1)^{\hat{F}_R}|\nu\rangle_R = e^{\mathrm{i}\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,R}}|\nu\rangle_R,$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}} = e^{-\mathrm{i}\Phi}(-1)^{Q_{\nu,\bar{L}}}|\nu\rangle_{\bar{L}}. \quad (44)$$

Hence acting $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x$ on both sides of (34) we end up with the following contradiction

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}\Phi} = (-1)^{L_y S}e^{\mathrm{i}\Phi} \quad (45)$$

on a cylinder with circumference L_y=odd.

Therefore we've proved that symmetry implementation (38) is incompatible with a gapped SRE unique ground for pair superfluid Hamiltonian (12) of interacting fermionic spinons. This leads to gapless Z_2 spin liquids protected by mirror and time reversal (and $U(1)$ spin conservation).

C. Site-centered C_{2n} rotation and time reversal

The 3rd criterion is provided by the interplay of $2n$-fold site-centered rotation C_{2n} and time reversal operation $\boldsymbol{T}$. A crucial difference between an even-rank rotation C_{2n} and odd-rank rotation C_{2n+1} is that two-dimensional inversion I can be generated by even-rank rotation $I = (C_{2n})^n$ but not the odd-rank rotation. Specifically, for a site-centered $2n$-fold rotation C_{2n}, the following symmetry implementations

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{C_{2n}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}(\hat{C}_{2n})^{-1} = (-1)^{\hat{F}}(\hat{C}_{2n})^{2n}, \quad \text{if } n=\text{odd}, \quad (46)$$
$$(C_{2n})^{2n} = (-1)^{\hat{F}}, \qquad \text{if } n=\text{even}. \quad (47)$$

necessarily lead to gapless Z_2 spin liquids.

First of all in simplest $n=1$ case, C_2 operation is nothing but two-dimensional (2d) inversion I. Since in a Z_2 spin liquid we have

$$(\hat{C}_{2n})^{2n} = (\omega_{C_{2n}})^{\hat{F}}, \quad \omega_{C_{2n}} = \pm 1, \quad (48)$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{C_{2n}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}(\hat{C}_{2n})^{-1} = (\omega_{C_{2n}\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}, \quad \omega_{C_{2n}\boldsymbol{T}} = \pm 1. \quad (49)$$

the symmetry relation (46) in $n=1$ case is completely analogous to previous criterion (38) for reflection $\hat{R}_x$ and time reversal $\boldsymbol{T}$. It's straightforward to show that our previous arguments on criterion (38) can be applied thoroughly in parallel to $n=1$ case of (46). Therefore we've shown that 2d inversion $\hat{I}$ must satisfy

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{I}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{I}^{-1} = \hat{I}^2 = \omega_I^{\hat{F}}, \quad \omega_I = \pm 1. \quad (50)$$

for a gapped Z_2 spin liquid.

Now let's examine in general an $2n$-fold rotation C_{2n}. When n =odd, we have $I = (C_2 n)^n$ and hence condition (50) implies

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{I}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{I}^{-1} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{C_{2n}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}(\hat{C}_{2n})^{-1}\right]^n = \hat{I}^2 = (\hat{C}_{2n})^{2n}.$$

for any gapped Z_2 spin liquid. As a result criterion (46) necessarily lead to a gapless Z_2 spin liquid. When n=even, on the other hand, $I = (C_{2n})^n$ implies

$$(\hat{C}_{2n})^{2n} \equiv \hat{I}^2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{I}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{I}^{-1} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{C_{2n}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}(\hat{C}_{2n})^{-1}\right]^n \equiv 1.$$

for any gapped pair superfluid. Therefore symmetry relation (47) lead to gapless pair superfluids.

Therefore we've shown that criteria (46)-(47) is incompatible with a gapped SRE unique ground state of interacting spinon Ansatz Hamiltonion of pair superfluid. These criteria apply to generic fermionic parton construction (1).

In the following we apply the above criteria to a few simple examples, *i.e.* Z_2 spin liquids on square, triangular and kagome lattice with $U(1)$ spin rotation, time reversal and space group symmetries.

IV. GAPLESS Z_2 SPIN LIQUIDS ON SQUARE LATTICE

The space group of square lattice is $P4gm$, generated by two translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and

Algebraic Identity	SB b_α in Ref. 27	AF f_α in Ref. 42	fractionalization class of spinons
$T_2^{-1}T_1^{-1}T_2T_1=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)^{p_1}	η_{12}	$\omega_{T_1T_2}$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}T_1\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_2^{-1}=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}T_2\boldsymbol{\sigma}T_1^{-1}=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
${C_6}^{-1}T_1C_6T_2=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
${C_6}^{-1}T_2C_6T_2^{-1}T_1^{-1}=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)^{p_2}	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$
$R^2=(C_6\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)$^{p_2+p_3}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}$	ω_R
$(C_6)^6=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)^{p_3}	η_{C_6}	ω_{C_6}
$T_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_1\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
$T_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_2\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	1	1	1
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)^{p_2}	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$	$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$
$R^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}R\boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{e}$	(-1)$^{p_2+p_3}$	$\eta_{C_6\boldsymbol{T}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$	$\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}$
$\boldsymbol{T}^2=\boldsymbol{e}$	-1	-1	-1

Table II: Triangular lattice: symmetry fractionalization class $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6\subset\mathcal{H}^2(P6mm\times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}},\mathbb{Z}_2)$ of spinons in half-integer-spin Z_2 spin liquids, and their realizations in $S=1/2$ Schwinger-boson (SB) and Abrikosov-fermion (AF) representations, following the convention of Ref. 27,42. The fractionalization classes from 2nd group cohomology[34] are labeled by six Z_2-valued integers $\omega=\pm1$. We show that a Z_2 spin liquid is gapless if violating any of the following conditions: $\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}$, $\omega_R=\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}$ and $\omega_{C_6}=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_R$. This leads to only $2^3=8$ distinct gapped Z_2 spin liquids, all realized in Schwinger-boson representation[26,27].



Figure 2: (color online) Space group ($P6mm$) symmetries of triangular lattice, generated by two translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and site-centered 6-fold rotation C_6. Another mirror reflection is introduced as $R=(C_6)^3\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The two mirror planes ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and R) intersect at a lattice site. Red and green dotted dash lines denote two entanglement cuts of the lattice, related by mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or inversion $I=(C_6)^3$.

4-fold rotation C_4 as shown in FIG. 1. The symmetry implementations on spinons in square-lattice Z_2 spin liquids are summarized in TABLE I. The last column of the table lists the symmetry fractionalization classes $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^9\subset\mathcal{H}^2(P4gm\times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}},\mathbb{Z}_2)$ of spinons, as computed in Ref. 9. Since spinons are Kramers doublets of time reversal symmetry satisfying $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^2=(-1)^{\hat{F}}$ in a half-integer spin system, the symmetry fractionalization class are labeled by 9 Z_2-valued integers $\{\omega=\pm1\}$. In spin-1/2 case ($S=1$), a part of these $2^9=512$ symmetry fractionalization classes are realized in Schwinger-boson and Abrikosov-fermion representations, leading to $64{=}2^8$ different Schwinger-boson Z_2 spin liquids[28] and $176{=}11\times2^4$ Abrikosov-fermion Z_2 spin liquid states[39], as summarized in two middle columns of TABLE I.

Based on our previous analysis, in the generalized parton construction of half-integer-spin systems, certain symmetry fractionalization class that satisfies criteria (19),(38),(46)-(47) will necessarily lead to gapless Z_2 spin liquids. On square lattice, (19) can be applied to mirror reflections $R_x=(C_4)^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in FIG. 1, leading to a sufficient condition for gapless Z_2 spin liquids

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{R}_x^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}\equiv(\hat{C}_4)^4=(\omega_{C_4})^{\hat{F}}. \tag{51}$$

where we utilize the following symmetry implementations on spinons

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_4\boldsymbol{\sigma}=(\omega_R)^{\hat{F}}{C_4}^{-1},\quad(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2=(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^{\hat{F}}{C_4}^{-1}.$$

Note that criterion (47) leads to the same conclusion.

We can also apply criterion (38) to either mirror plane $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or $R_{xy}\equiv C_4\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, leading to the two other sufficient conditions for gapless Z_2 spin liquids

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}, \tag{52}$$

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_{xy}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_{xy}=(\omega_R\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}. \tag{53}$$

If any of the 3 sufficient conditions (51)-(53) is satisfied, as proved earlier it's impossible to achieve a gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids in the parton construction, even in the presence of interactions between spinons. Therefore a gapped Z_2 spin liquid must obey the following rules of symmetry fractionalization:

$$\omega_{C_4}=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}=\omega_R\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}=1. \tag{54}$$

Applying these rules to Abrikosov-fermion constructions[39] (3rd column of TABLE I), gapped Z_2 spin liquid states must satisfy

$$\eta_{C_4}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{T}}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_4}\eta_{C_4\boldsymbol{T}}=1. \tag{55}$$

On the other hand, it's straightforward to see that condition (54) is automatically satisfied for all Schwinger-boson Z_2 spin liquid states[28].

There are only $2^6=64$ symmetry fractionalization classes that satisfy (54) for a gapped Z_2 spin liquid. It was pointed out[43,44] that with time reversal, space group and $SO(3)$ spin rotational symmetry, the fractionalization class of visons v in a gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquid of half-integer-spin system on square lattice is unique and fixed. Hence the symmetry fractionalization is fully determined by symmetry implementations on bosonic (or fermionic) spinons, and all 64 of them are realized by the 64 Z_2 spin liquid states in Schwinger-boson construction[28].



Figure 3: (color online) Space group ($P6mm$) symmetries of kagome lattice, generated by two translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection R_y and hexagon-centered 6-fold rotation C_6. Two extra mirror symmetries are introduced as $R_x = (C_6)^3\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $M_x = T_1R_x$. Two mirror planes, R_y and M_x, intersect at a lattice site. Red and green dotted dash lines denote two entanglement cuts of the lattice, related by mirror M_x or inversion M_xR_y.

Algebraic Identity	SB b_α in Ref. 27	AF f_α in Ref. 45	fractionalization class of spinons
$T_2^{-1}T_1^{-1}T_2T_1$	$(-1)^{p_1}$	η_{12}	$\omega_{T_1T_2}$
$T_1^{-1}C_6{}^{-1}T_2C_6$	1	1	1
$T_1^{-1}T_2C_6{}^{-1}T_1C_6$	1	1	1
$T_1^{-1}R_y^{-1}T_1R_y$	$(-1)^{p_1}$	η_{12}	$\omega_{T_1T_2}$
$T_1^{-1}T_2R_y^{-1}T_2R_y$	$(-1)^{p_1}$	η_{12}	$\omega_{T_1T_2}$
$R_x^2 = (C_6R_y)^2$	$(-1)^{p_2+p_3}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$	ω_{R_x}
$(R_y)^2$	$(-1)^{p_2}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}$	ω_{R_y}
$(C_6)^6 = I^2$	$(-1)^{p_1+p_3}$	η_{C_6}	ω_{C_6}
$T_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_1\boldsymbol{T}$	1	1	1
$T_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}T_2\boldsymbol{T}$	1	1	1
$R_y^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}R_y\boldsymbol{T}$	$(-1)^{p_2}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}\eta_{C_6\boldsymbol{T}}$	$\omega_{R_y\boldsymbol{T}}$
$R_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1}R_x\boldsymbol{T}$	$(-1)^{p_2+p_3}$	$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}$	$\omega_{R_x\boldsymbol{T}}$
$\boldsymbol{T}^2$	-1	-1	-1

Table III: Kagome lattice: symmetry fractionalization class $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6 \subset \mathcal{H}^2(P6mm \times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of spinons in half-integer-spin Z_2 spin liquids, and their realizations in $S = 1/2$ Schwinger-boson (SB) and Abrikosov-fermion (AF) representations, following the convention of Ref. 27,45. The fractionalization classes from 2nd group cohomology[44] are labeled by nine Z_2-valued integers $\omega = \pm 1$. We show that a Z_2 spin liquid is gapless if violating any of the following conditions: $\omega_{R_x} = \omega_{R_x\boldsymbol{T}}$, $\omega_{R_y} = \omega_{R_y\boldsymbol{T}}$ and $\omega_{T_1T_2}\omega_{C_6} = \omega_{R_x}\omega_{R_y}$. This leads to only $2^3 = 8$ distinct gapped Z_2 spin liquids, all realized in Schwinger-boson representation[26,27].

As shown in Ref.[28], knowing the symmetry fractionalization class of visons v allows us to establish a duality between Schwinger-boson and Abrikosov-fermion states, since symmetry fractionalization on anyons must be compatible with fusion rule[9] (6). It's straightforward to show that the Abrikosov-fermion states in TABLE I and their Schwinger-boson partners satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{gathered}\eta_{xy} = (-1)^{p_1+1}, \quad \eta_{xpx} = (-1)^{p_3}, \quad \eta_{xpy} = (-1)^{p_2+1}, \\ \eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}} = (-1)^{p_4+1}, \quad \eta_{C_4\boldsymbol{T}} = \eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_4} = (-1)^{p_7}, \\ \eta_t = (-1)^{p_8}, \quad \eta_{C_4} = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{56}$$

All $64 = 4 \times 2^4$ gapped Z_2 spin liquids can also be realized by mean-field ansatz in spin-1/2 Abrikosov-fermion representation[39], with symmetry implementations

$$\begin{gathered}\eta_{xy}, \eta_{xpx}, \eta_{xpy}, \eta_t = \pm 1, \\ (g_{P_{xy}}, g_{P_x}, g_{P_y}, g_{\boldsymbol{T}}) = (\tau^0, \tau^0, \tau^0, \mathrm{i}\tau^3), \\ (g_{P_{xy}}, g_{P_x}, g_{P_y}, g_{\boldsymbol{T}}) = (\tau^0, \mathrm{i}\tau^1, \mathrm{i}\tau^1, \mathrm{i}\tau^3), \\ (g_{P_{xy}}, g_{P_x}, g_{P_y}, g_{\boldsymbol{T}}) = (\mathrm{i}\tau^1, \tau^0, \tau^0, \mathrm{i}\tau^3), \\ (g_{P_{xy}}, g_{P_x}, g_{P_y}, g_{\boldsymbol{T}}) = (\mathrm{i}\tau^1, \mathrm{i}\tau^1, \mathrm{i}\tau^1, \mathrm{i}\tau^3). \end{gathered}$$

in the notation of Ref. 39. Besides gapped states, $6 \times 2^4 = 96$ Abrikosov-fermion states with Kramers-doublet spinons ($\boldsymbol{T}^2 = -1$) in Ref. 39 realize symmetry-protected gapless Z_2 spin liquids.

V. GAPLESS Z_2 SPIN LIQUIDS ON TRIANGULAR LATTICE

As shown in FIG. 2, the space group $P6mm$ of triangular lattice is generated by translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and 6-fold site-centered rotation C_6. The symmetry fractionalization class of spinons is classified[34] as $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6 \subset \mathcal{H}^2(P6mm \times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, labeled by 6 Z_2-valued coefficients $\omega = \pm 1$ in the last column of TABLE II. Again only a part of them are realized in spin-1/2 ($S = 1$) parton constructions, leading to $2^3 = 8$ Schwinger-boson[27] Z_2 spin liquids and 20 Abrikosov-fermion states[34,42]. It can be shown[34] that in fermionic parton construction (1) for spin-3/2 ($S = 3$) systems, all $2^6 = 64$ fractionalization class on fermionic spinons are realized.

Now we utilize criteria (19),(38),(46)-(47) to identify gapless Z_2 spin liquids among all states. First, apply criterion (19) to mirror symmetries $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $R = (C_6)^3\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in FIG. 2 leads to one sufficient condition for gaplessness:

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}} = \hat{R}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{R}^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1} \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^2\hat{R}^2(\hat{R}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 = (\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_R\omega_{C_6})^{\hat{F}} \tag{57}$$

Next, applying criterion to either mirror $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or R leads to two more sufficient "gapless" conditions on fractionalization class in TABLE II:

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = (\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}})^{\hat{F}}, \tag{58}$$

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R} = (\omega_R\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}. \tag{59}$$

Criterion (47) for site-centered C_6 rotation also leads to another gapless condition $\omega_{C_6}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}=-1$, which however is not independent of the above conditions (57)-(59).

Any spinon symmetry fractionalization that fulfills one or more of conditions (57)-(59) will inevitably lead to gapless excitations. This means any gapped Z_2 spin liquidon kagome lattice with at least time reversal, space group and $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetries must satisfy following conditions

$$\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_R\omega_{C_6}=\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\omega_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}=\omega_R\omega_{R\boldsymbol{T}}=1. \tag{60}$$

on the spinon fractionalizaton class. This suggests that among the possible $2^6=64$ fractionalization classes, only $2^3=8$ among them lead to gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids.

In all 8 Schwinger-boson states[27] listed in 2nd column of TABLE II, it's straightforward to see that "gap conditions" (60) are automatically fulfilled. On the other hand, in Abrikosov-fermion states, gap condition (60) leads to

$$\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}\eta_{C_6},\quad \eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}\eta_{C_6\boldsymbol{T}}. \tag{61}$$

Only 8 states among all 20 satisfy above conditions[34,42]. Moreover similar to square lattice, the vison fractionalization class on triangular lattice is also uniquely fixed[46] for half-integer spins on triangular lattice, establishing a duality between Schwinger-boson and Abrikosov-fermion gapped Z_2 spin liquid states. The 8 gapped Abrikosov-fermion states are nothing but the counterparts of 8 gapped Schwinger-boson states[34,42]. All other 12 Abrikosov-fermion states are symmetry-protected gapless Z_2 spin liquids.

VI. GAPLESS Z_2 SPIN LIQUIDS ON KAGOME LATTICE

Kagome lattice share the same space group $P6mm$ with triangular lattice, generated by translations $T_{1,2}$, mirror reflection R_y and hexagon-centered rotation C_6, as shown in FIG. 3. Therefore spinon fractionalization class on kagome lattice is also classified[44] by $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6\subset\mathcal{H}^2(P6mm\times Z_2^{\boldsymbol{T}},\mathbb{Z}_2)$, characterized by 6 Z_2-valued coefficients $\omega=\pm1$ in the last column of TABLE III. In corresponding parton constructions of spin-1/2 ($S=1$) systems, Schwinger-boson representation leads to $2^3=8$ different Z_2 spin liquid states[27], while Abrikosov-fermion representation gives rise to 20 states[45]. Below we utilize criteria (19),(38),(46)-(47) to identify gapless Z_2 spin liquids among them.

According to criterion (19), two perpendicular mirror planes $M_x=T_1R_x$ and R_y in FIG. 3 intersect at a lattice site, so the following symmetry implementation on spinons

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{M}_x\hat{R}_y\hat{M}_x^{-1}\hat{R}_y^{-1}\equiv \tag{62}$$
$$(\hat{T}_1^{-1}\hat{R}_y^{-1}\hat{T}_1\hat{R}_y)\cdot\hat{R}_x^2\cdot\hat{R}_x^2\cdot(\hat{C}_6)^6=(\omega_{T_1T_2}\omega_{R_x}\omega_{R_y}\omega_{C_6})^{\hat{F}}$$

provides a sufficient condition for a gapless spinon spectrum. Meanwhile, applying criterion (38) to reflections R_x and R_y leads to two sufficient gapless conditions:

$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_x\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_x=(\omega_{R_x}\omega_{R_x\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}, \tag{63}$$
$$(-1)^{\hat{F}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}\hat{R}_y\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^{-1}\hat{R}_y=(\omega_{R_y}\omega_{R_y\boldsymbol{T}})^{\hat{F}}. \tag{64}$$

Criterion (46) applied to inversion $I=M_xR_y$ also leads to a sufficient condition $\omega_{C_6}\omega_{T_1T_2}\omega_{R_x\boldsymbol{T}}\omega_{R_y\boldsymbol{T}}=-1$, which is again not independent of conditions (62)-(64). Satisfying one or more of these gapless conditions results in stable gapless Z_2 spin liquids.

In order to achieve a gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquid, the symmetry operations on spinons therefore must obey the following rules

$$\omega_{T_1T_2}\omega_{R_x}\omega_{R_y}\omega_{C_6}=\omega_{R_x}\omega_{R_x\boldsymbol{T}}=\omega_{R_y}\omega_{R_y\boldsymbol{T}}=1. \tag{65}$$

These 3 extra "gap constraints" lead to $2^{6-3}=2^3=8$ spinon symmetry fractionalization classes for a gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquid of half-integer spins on kagome lattice. As shown in 2nd column in TABLE III, similar to square and triangular lattices, all 8 spin-1/2 Schwinger-boson states on kagome lattice[27] automatically satisfies constraints (65). On the other hand, for Abrikosov-fermion states in 3rd column of TABLE III, gap conditions (65) become the following constraints on fermonic spinons:

$$\eta_{12}\eta_{C_6}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma T}}=\eta_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}C_6}\eta_{C_6\boldsymbol{T}}=1. \tag{66}$$

Among 20 Abrikosov-fermion states[45], only 8 fulfill this constraint and therefore the other 12 states are all symmetry-protected Z_2 spin liquids. Similar to triangular lattice, one can also establish the duality between Schwinger-boson and Abrikosov-fermion gapped Z_2 spin liquidstates[44,46–48], and the 8 gapped Abrikosov-fermion states are nothing but partners of the 8 Schwinger-boson states. Therefore all 8 possible fractionalization class for gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids of half-integer spins on kagome lattice are realized by Schwinger-boson representation.

VII. SUMMARY

In this work we establish a class of gapless topological phases in half-integer spin systems, which hosts symmetry-protected low-energy bulk excitations stable against any symmetric perturbations. These phases require time reversal, certain space group and at least $U(1)$ spin rotational symmetries. The low-energy dynamics of these phases are described by a dynamical Z_2 gauge field coupled to gapless mater fields, half-integer-spin "spinons" that transform as a Kramers doublet under time reversal, hence they are dubbed "gapless Z_2 spin liquids".

In the framework of parton constructions for half-integer spin systems, we construct these gapless Z_2

spin liquidas spin-conserving pair superfluids of spinons (spinons). We establish three criteria (19), (38), (46)-(47) regarding the spatial (and time reversal) symmetry implementations on spinons, which forbids a gapped spinon pair superfluid even in the presence of interactions between spinons. The non-perturbative proof for gapless pair superfluids of interacting spinons is achieved by using properties of Schmidt decomposition of a SRE state[21–23]. These criteria naturally lead to non-perturbative necessary conditions for a gapped pair superfluid of interacting fermionic spinons.

We apply these criteria to symmetric Z_2 spin liquids on square, triangular and kagome lattices, and found that the only gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids that can be realized on these lattices are the Schwinger-boson Z_2 spin liquids[26–28]. There are only $2^6 = 64$ gapped Z_2 spin liquids on square lattice, and $2^3 = 8$ gapped states on triangular or kagome lattice. This leads to a large number of symmetry-protected gapless spin-1/2 Z_2 spin liquids in Abrikosov-fermion construction: 96 on square lattice, 12 on kagome or triangular lattice.

One thing worth mentioning is that the non-perturbative "gap conditions" for a pair superfluid of interacting fermions holds quite generally, regardless of the topology of the ground state. In other words, they can be applied to a trivial pair superfluid or a topological pair superfluid with protected edge states[5,49]. Therefore it will be an interesting future direction to apply these non-perturbative criteria to certain electronic superconductors.

Note added: Upon completion of this work we became aware of Qi and Cheng's work[50], which classified all gapped symmetric Z_2 spin liquids on kagome/triangular lattice in the generic formalism of symmetry fractionalization. Their results agree with ours from parton construction on kagome/triangular lattices.

Acknowledgments

I thank Ashvin Vishwanath and Mike Zaletel for previous collaborations, as well as Yang Qi and Meng Cheng for sending me their draft. This work is supported by startup funds at Ohio State University.

[1] T. Senthil, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **6**, 299 (2015).
[2] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1505.03535 [cond-mat.mes-hall] .
[3] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).
[4] M. Z. Hasan and J. E. Moore, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **2**, 55 (2011).
[5] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011).
[6] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155114 (2013).
[7] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 125119 (2012).
[8] Z. Bi, A. Rasmussen, K. Slagle, and C. Xu, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 134404 (2015).
[9] A. M. Essin and M. Hermele, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 104406 (2013).
[10] A. Mesaros and Y. Ran, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155115 (2013).
[11] L.-Y. Hung and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 165107 (2013).
[12] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 155121 (2016).
[13] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1410.4540 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[14] O. Vafek and A. Vishwanath, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **5**, 83 (2014).
[15] A. M. Turner and A. Vishwanath, ArXiv e-prints (2013), arXiv:1301.0330 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[16] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1773 (1991).
[17] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 2664 (1991).
[18] S. Matsuura, P.-Y. Chang, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, New Journal of Physics **15**, 065001 (2013).
[19] C.-K. Chiu and A. P. Schnyder, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 205136 (2014).
[20] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 235110 (2002).
[21] F. Pollmann, A. M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 064439 (2010).
[22] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 035107 (2011).
[23] H. Watanabe, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and M. Zaletel, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **112**, 14551 (2015).
[24] P. Mendels, F. Bert, M. A. de Vries, A. Olariu, A. Harrison, F. Duc, J. C. Trombe, J. S. Lord, A. Amato, and C. Baines, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 077204 (2007).
[25] M. Fu, T. Imai, T.-H. Han, and Y. S. Lee, Science **350**, 655 (2015).
[26] S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 12377 (1992).
[27] F. Wang and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 174423 (2006).
[28] X. Yang and F. Wang, ArXiv e-prints , 1507.07621 (2015), arXiv:1507.07621 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[29] A. A. Abrikosov, Physics **2**, 5 (1965).
[30] I. Affleck, Z. Zou, T. Hsu, and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 745 (1988).
[31] A. Auerbach, *Interacting electrons and quantum magnetism*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics (Springer, New York, 1994) p. 268.
[32] C. Xu, F. Wang, Y. Qi, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 087204 (2012).
[33] F. Wang and C. Xu, ArXiv e-prints (2011), arXiv:1110.4091 [cond-mat.str-el] .

[34] W. Zheng, J.-W. Mei, and Y. Qi, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1505.05351 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[35] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 7850 (2000).
[36] A. Y. Kitaev, Annals of Physics **303**, 2 (2003).
[37] N. Tarantino, N. H. Lindner, and L. Fidkowski, New Journal of Physics **18**, 035006 (2016).
[38] A. Kitaev, *January Special Issue*, Annals of Physics **321**, 2 (2006).
[39] X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 165113 (2002).
[40] L. Capriotti, F. Becca, A. Parola, and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 097201 (2001).
[41] W.-J. Hu, F. Becca, A. Parola, and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 060402 (2013).
[42] Y.-M. Lu, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 165113 (2016).
[43] M. Hermele and X. Chen, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1508.00573 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[44] Y. Qi and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 100401 (2015).
[45] Y.-M. Lu, Y. Ran, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 224413 (2011).
[46] Y. Qi, M. Cheng, and C. Fang, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1509.02927 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[47] Y.-M. Lu, G. Y. Cho, and A. Vishwanath, ArXiv e-prints (2014), arXiv:1403.0575 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[48] M. Zaletel, Y.-M. Lu, and A. Vishwanath, ArXiv e-prints (2015), arXiv:1501.01395 [cond-mat.str-el] .
[49] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195125 (2008).
[50] Y. Qi and M. Cheng, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1606.04544 [cond-mat.str-el] .